# organic papers

Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

## Lakshminarasimhan Damodharan,<sup>a</sup> Vasantha Pattabhi<sup>a</sup>\* and Kuppuswamy Nagarajan<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Crystallography and Biophysics, University of Madras, Guindy Campus, Chennai 600 025, India, and <sup>b</sup>RECON, R&D Center, Bannerghatta Road, Bangalore 560 076, India

Correspondence e-mail: pvasantha@hotmail.com

#### Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 293 K Mean  $\sigma$ (C–C) = 0.009 Å R factor = 0.060 wR factor = 0.176 Data-to-parameter ratio = 10.6

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.

© 2002 International Union of Crystallography

Printed in Great Britain - all rights reserved

sulfonyl)imidazolidin-2-one

In the title compound (satranidazole),  $C_8H_{11}N_5O_5S$ , the dihedral angle between the mean plane of the imidazolidine and imidazole rings is 49.0 (2)°. In the solid state, the imidazole rings of the glide-related molecules are stacked along the *a* axis, with significant  $\pi$ - $\pi$  interactions. The crystal structure is further stabilized by weak C-H···O intermolecular hydrogen bonds.

3-(1-Methyl-5-nitroimidazol-2-yl)-1-(methyl-

#### Comment

1-Substituted 5-nitroimidazoles exhibit antiprotozoal activity, while the 4-nitroimidazole derivatives do not. There are at least five 5-nitroimidazole antiprotozoal drugs on the market, namely metronidazole, secnidazole, ornidazole, nimorazole and dimetridazole. The title compound, (I), synthesized by Nagarajan *et al.* (1982), is reported to have antiamoebic activity (Nagarajan *et al.*, 1982) and has been launched as a drug by Alkem Laboratories. Crystals of (I) were obtained from three different solvents, *viz*, boiling acetone (sample 1), DMF (sample 2) and H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> (sample 3), in order to verify whether they yield any polymorphic substances, which have commercial value. Crystal structure determination and powder diffraction experiments showed that the three sample preparations led to the same structure. Hence, the crystal structure of sample 2 alone is described below.



A perspective view of the molecule of (I), including the atomic numbering, is shown in Fig. 1. The molecule contains an imidazolidine ring (A) and an imidazole ring (B) linked through a C-N bond. Ring A is twisted about the C4-C5 bond and the mean plane through this ring forms a dihedral angle of 49.0 (2)° with ring B. The methylsulfonyl group attached to ring A has a distorted tetrahedral geometry, as indicated by the widening of the O13-S11-O12 angle [118.5 (3)°]. This is caused by the repulsion between the lone pair orbitals of the two O atoms (McKenna *et al.*, 1989). The nitro group attached to the B ring is nearly coplanar with that ring, as is evident from the torsion angles C9-C8-N17-O19 [4.0 (9)°] and N7-C8-N17-O18 [-1.5 (9)°]. Weak C-H···O-type intramolecular hydrogen bonds are observed and they are shown in Fig. 1.

In the crystal structure, face-to-face stacking ( $\alpha = 5.3^{\circ}$ ;  $\alpha$  is the dihedral angle between stacking planes, in this case, the

Received 27 August 2002 Accepted 17 September 2002 Online 27 September 2002

**01136** Lakshminarasimhan Damodharan et al. • C<sub>8</sub>H<sub>11</sub>N<sub>5</sub>O<sub>5</sub>S DOI: 10.1107/S1600536802016902 Acta Cryst. (2002). E**58**, o1136–o1138



#### Figure 1

The molecular structure of (I), showing 50% probability displacement ellipsoids and the atom-numbering scheme. Weak C-H···O intramolecular hydrogen bonds are also shown.



#### Figure 2

Stereoview showing the stacking interactions along the a axis.

angle between ring *B* and the glide-related ring *B*) of the *B* ring and the *B* rings of glide-related molecules at  $(\frac{1}{2} + x, \frac{3}{2} - y, z)$  and  $(-\frac{1}{2} + x, \frac{3}{2} - y, z)$  are observed. These rings are stacked along the *a* axis, with their centroids separated by a distance of 3.564 (3) Å, indicating significant  $\pi$ - $\pi$  interactions. The crystal structure is stabilized by these stacking interactions (Fig. 2) and also by several weak C-H···O hydrogen bonds (Table 1).

## Experimental

The title compound was synthesized according to the procedure developed by Nagarajan *et al.* (1982). Expecting polymorphism, three crystalline samples of (I) were obtained from three different solvents, as follows. The title compound was dissolved in a large volume of boiling acetone and the solution was concentrated, to obtain a crystalline product with melting point 458-459 K (sample 1). The second sample was obtained by dissolving 0.5 g of (I) in 1 ml of DMF on a water bath and leaving the solution at room temperature for several hours; the melting point of this sample was found to be the same as that of sample 1. To prepare the third sample, 0.5 g of (I) was

dissolved in 1 ml of concentrated  $H_2SO_4$  and 5 ml water, and the solution was set aside overnight. This sample was found to melt partially around 458 K, re-solidified at about 483 K and the melting was complete at about 493 K.

#### Crystal data

 $C_8H_{11}N_5O_5S$   $M_r = 289.28$ Orthorhombic, *Pna2*<sub>1</sub> a = 7.046 (2) Å b = 19.566 (6) Å c = 8.743 (2) Å V = 1205.3 (6) Å<sup>3</sup> Z = 4 $D_x = 1.594$  Mg m<sup>-3</sup>

#### Data collection

Enraf-Nonius CAD-4 diffractometer  $\omega$ -2 $\theta$  scans Absorption correction:  $\psi$  scan (XRAYACS; Chandrasekaran, 1998)  $T_{\min} = 0.616, T_{\max} = 0.775$ 1851 measured reflections 1195 independent reflections 1035 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$ 

### Refinement

Refinement on  $F^2$   $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.060$   $wR(F^2) = 0.176$  S = 1.111851 reflections 174 parameters H-atom parameters constrained  $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.1053P)^2 + 1.7101P]$ where  $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$  Cu  $K\alpha$  radiation Cell parameters from 25 reflections  $\theta = 13.8-29.6^{\circ}$  $\mu = 2.68 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 293 (2) K Bipyramidal, pale yellow  $0.20 \times 0.13 \times 0.10 \text{ mm}$ 

 $\begin{aligned} R_{\text{int}} &= 0.047\\ \theta_{\text{max}} &= 71.7^{\circ}\\ h &= -6 \rightarrow 8\\ k &= -24 \rightarrow 19\\ l &= 0 \rightarrow 10\\ 3 \text{ standard reflections}\\ \text{ every 200 reflections}\\ \text{ frequency: 120 min}\\ \text{ intensity decay: none} \end{aligned}$ 

 $\begin{array}{l} (\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} < 0.001 \\ \Delta\rho_{\rm max} = 0.35 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3} \\ \Delta\rho_{\rm min} = -0.70 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3} \\ {\rm Extinction correction: } SHELXL97 \\ {\rm Extinction coefficient: } 0.039 \ (4) \\ {\rm Absolute structure: Flack (1983), } \\ 656 \ {\rm Friedel pairs} \\ {\rm Flack \ parameter = -0.01 \ (5)} \end{array}$ 

## Table 1

Hydrogen-bonding geometry (Å,  $^{\circ}$ ).

| $\overline{D-\mathrm{H}\cdots A}$ | D-H  | $H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$ | $D \cdots A$ | $D - \mathbf{H} \cdots A$ |
|-----------------------------------|------|-------------------------|--------------|---------------------------|
| C14-H14AO15                       | 0.96 | 2.67                    | 3.221 (9)    | 117                       |
| C16-H16A···O18                    | 0.96 | 2.16                    | 2.853 (8)    | 128                       |
| C16−H16B···O15                    | 0.96 | 2.28                    | 3.017 (8)    | 133                       |
| $C14 - H14B \cdots O18^{i}$       | 0.96 | 2.53                    | 3.443 (9)    | 158                       |
| $C5-H5B\cdots O19^{ii}$           | 0.97 | 2.58                    | 3.265 (8)    | 128                       |
| C9−H9···O13 <sup>iii</sup>        | 0.93 | 2.50                    | 3.412 (7)    | 168                       |
| $C14-H14C\cdots O15^{iv}$         | 0.96 | 2.48                    | 3.336 (8)    | 148                       |
| $C14-H14C\cdots O13^{iv}$         | 0.96 | 2.60                    | 3.342 (9)    | 134                       |

Symmetry codes: (i) x, y, 1+z; (ii)  $\frac{1}{2}+x, \frac{3}{2}-y, 1+z$ ; (iii)  $\frac{1}{2}-x, \frac{1}{2}+y, z-\frac{1}{2}$ ; (iv)  $-x, 1-y, \frac{1}{2}+z$ .

All the H atoms were positioned geometrically and were allowed to ride on their parent atoms with *SHELXL*97 (Sheldrick, 1997) defaults for bond lengths and displacement parameters.

Data collection: *CAD-4 EXPRESS* (Enraf–Nonius, 1994); cell refinement: *CAD-4 EXPRESS*; data reduction: *XCAD4* (Harms & Wocadlo, 1996); program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: *ORTEP-3* (Farrugia, 1997); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXL97* and *PARST* (Nardelli, 1983, 1995).

The work was supported by the Council of Scientific and Industrial Research, India.

## References

- Chandrasekaran, A. (1998). XRAYACS. University of Masschusetts, Amherst, USA.
- Enraf-Nonius (1994). CAD-4 EXPRESS. Enraf-Nonius, Delft, The Netherlands.
- Farrugia, L. J. (1997). J. Appl. Cryst. 30, 565.
- Flack, H. D. (1983). Acta Cryst. A39, 876-881.

- Harms, K. & Wocadlo, S. (1996). *XCAD*4. University of Marburg, Germany. McKenna, R., Neidle, S., Kuroda, R. & Fox, B. W. (1989). *Acta Cryst.* C45, 311–313.
- Nagarajan, K., Arya, V. P., George, T., Sudarsanam, V., Shah, R. K., Nagana Gouda, A., Shenoy, S. J., Honkan, V., Kullkarni, Y. S. & Rao, M. K. (1982). *Indian J. Chem. Sect. B*, **21**, 928–940.
- Nardelli, M. (1983). Comput. Chem. 7, 95-97.
- Nardelli, M. (1995). J. Appl. Cryst. 28, 659.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXS97 and SHELXL97. University of Göttingen, Germany.